

Any Topic (Writer's Choice)

YourFirstName YourLastName

University title

Rules are explicit principles or regulations that govern the conduct of people with a particular sphere. In this paper, I will argue out the relationship between the society and rules and far more the implications of this rule to the same society. The arguments will be based on the theories of John Stuart Mill a great philosopher who was very crucial in the development of the Utilitarianism theory aimed at maximizing personal liberty. Some of the arguments are based on the movie the 'fire from 1996.' The whole discussion is based on the problem that all societies need rules but all rules infringe on human right or even cause suffering.

Though the society the basic unit the society is not founded on any contract and nothing good is found with coming up with rules in order to get social obligations from them, and anyone who gets any social protection by law owes some the society returns. Societies today are very complex and with this complexity the rules need to be simple, John Stuart Mill (1954). According to the theory of liberty by John Stuart, the society must standard rules and the main reason for interfering with people's liberty s for the sake of others. In this case, he argues that doing injustice is not bad but when injustice is done to you or when you suffer injustice its bad and for that case the injustice done to your supersedes doing injustice, John Stuart Mill (1954).

The voluntary choice or voluntary acts are a consideration for his personal liberty, and any action done is desirable. The general principle of freedom does not say if one should be free or not and not freedom to be given so as to alienate other people's freedom. To uphold this principle and the greatest difficulty to do so does not depend on the appreciation of acknowledged end, but in the point of indifference of the people in general. Liberty entails civilization, education, culture and instructions but itself is an important part factor to all this factors; there can be no danger if liberty should be underestimated, and adjust the boundaries that exist between it and the society posing an extraordinary difficult situation.

Many people are satisfied with the natural ways of mankind as they do not understand why these ways should not be good for everyone; and why should rules be introduced. This is seen as a jealous and troublesome and rebellious way of accepting reforms and rules which act best for humankind. In the older states, the forces were so hard and overcome the power of the society to discipline and control these forces, John Stuart Mill (1954). The problem majorly was more with the men of strong, mind and powers and how to control their impulses, and they're is law and control had to come into place.

Society needs rules that are simple, since there have no proper explanations of the limit that the sovereignty and liberty of an individual should be controlled. The question to answer is where authority over society begins and how much of human actions should be left for individuality and what should be left to society. Each society and individuality should receive a proper share depending on their interests. Though according to John Stuart society is not founded on a contract and there is no good explanation given for contracting a society so to deduce obligations from it. Any party that receives social protection owes the society something in return. There are so many that the society does in to protect the wellbeing of it members and defending them from injustices and molestation; with this at stake it is justified to enforce rules at all costs. The actions of one individual may harm other or want due consideration for personal welfare.

Being aware of trying to protect punishments or defending religious opinions, using any argument that intends not justify John Stuart theories is posed as the enemies of freedom. The theory that says that truth may be persecuted but because the act of persecution cannot do it any harm, St. Andrew's Society of Philadelphia, (1976). To discover and understand the society issues that concerns it and which previously was ignorant and prove that this was a mistake on some crucial issues of spiritual interest. John Stuart splendid benefits require to be requited and his reward is to be considered as the vilest of injustices and not upon this theory.

What the writer was contended for this case are the inconveniences that are completely inseparable from how people judge others.

The proper distinction between loss of attention and that a person may incur personal dignity and the repercussions, due to him for acting against the rights of others. The difference points out the part of someone's life that only concern them and that that involve others. Quite a good number of people will fail to admit that a part of conduct by one member of the society may be indifferent to others, no particular person who can entirely live in isolation. When the consequences brought about the misconduct of a thoughtless person, the society ought to abandon the guidelines that are unfit for them. Some acts like drunkenness, incontinence, uncleanliness and idleness are all injurious to happiness and all this is prohibited by law. This is things that the social rules should condemn and have always been condemned since the beginning of the world, or that have shown no usefulness to no particular person in the society. These are the things that rules should restrict. Before any new rules are put in place they should be properly considered if there were harm others and to what extent, St. Andrew's Society of Philadelphia, (1976)

In conclusion to society and rules; rules play a very significant role in maintaining harmony peace and order. Society is and should be based on rules and it would be hectic for society to operate without rules. From the time in memorial to modern society laws play a crucial role. I do not support that human freedom should be given up for rules, but they are irreplaceable components of any society. Because this is the inseparable bond between ethics and laws, rules and freedom. It is debatable if laws are necessary and on the other side human freedom is paramount.

References.

Philological Society (Philadelphia, Pa.) (1974)The constitution, laws and rules of the Philological Society. Philadelphia : Printed by William W. Woodward, Franklin's Head, no. 36, Chesnut Street, south

St. Andrew's Society of Philadelphia, (1976)The constitution and rules of the St. Andrew's Society in Philadelphia. Philadelphia : Printed by D. Hall, and W. Sellers., MDCCLXIX. [1769]

John Stuart Mill (1954). Utilitarianism, liberty, and representative government: London : Dent ; New York : Dutton.

John Stuart Mill (1910). On liberty Raleigh, N.C. : Alex Catalogue

John Stuart Mill (1961)The philosophy of John Stuart Mill: ethical, political, and religious.: New York, Modern Library.